


European judicial systems

Efficiency and quality of justice

CEPEJ STUDIES No. 26



2018 Edition (2016 data)

French edition:

« *Systèmes judiciaires européens –
Efficacité et qualité de la justice* »
Edition 2018 (données 2016)
Etudes de la CEPEJ N° 26
ISBN 978-92-871-8565-5

*The opinions expressed in this work are
the responsibility of the authors and
do not necessarily reflect the official
policy of the Council of Europe.*

All rights reserved. No part of this publication
may be translated, reproduced or transmitted,
in any form or by any means, electronic
(CD-Rom, internet, etc.) or mechanical,
including photocopying, recording or any
information storage or retrieval system,
without prior permission in writing from
the Directorate of Communication (F-67075
Strasbourg Cedex or publishing@coe.int).

Cover and layout: Documents and
Publications Production Department
(SPDP), Council of Europe
Cover photo: Shutterstock

This publication has not been copyedited
to correct typographical
and grammatical errors.

ISBN 978-92-871-8566-2

© Conseil de l'Europe, October 2018
Printed at the Council of Europe

European judicial systems Efficiency and quality of justice

CEPEJ Studies No. 26

2018 Edition (2016 data)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter 1. The evaluation process of the CEPEJ	5
1.1 The European Commission for the Efficiency of Justice	5
1.2 The scheme for evaluating judicial systems	5
1.3 Data collection, validation and analysis	6
1.4 General methodological issues	7
1.5 General economic and demographic data	10
Chapter 2. Budgets of judicial systems	17
2.1 Budget of the whole justice system	23
2.3 Budget allocated to courts	40
2.4 Public budget allocated to the public prosecution services	56
2.5 Court taxes and fees	63
2.6 Public budget allocated to legal aid	71
Chapter 3. Judicial staff and lawyers	93
3.1 Judges	93
3.2 Prosecutors	125
3.3 Elements common to judges and prosecutors in matters of training, ethics and responsibility ..	151
3.4 Other court staff	158
Chapter 4. Organisation of courts	195
4.1 Judicial organisation	195
4.2 State of Information Technology (IT) Development in European Judicial Systems	212
4.3 Court users	222
Chapter 5. Efficiency and quality of the activity of courts and public prosecution services	237
5.1 General overview of court caseload	239
5.2 Civil and commercial justice (litigious cases): 2016 data and trends regarding performance indicators	242
5.3 Administrative justice: 2016 data and trends regarding performance indicators	272
5.4 Criminal justice: 2016 data and trends regarding performance indicators	298
5.5 Summary of trends and conclusions	336

3.2.3 Number of prosecutors

Table 3.24. Variation of the number of public prosecutors between 2010 and 2016 (Q1, Q55)

States / Entities	Public prosecutors per 100 000 inhabitants							
	2010	2012	2014	2016	Variation 2010-2012	Variation 2012-2014	Variation 2014 - 2016	Variation 2010 - 2016
Albania	9,8	11,7	11,2	11,2	19%	-4%	-1%	14%
Andorra	3,5	5,2	6,5	6,8	49%	24%	5%	94%
Armenia	10,1	10,5	10,1	10,6	5%	-4%	5%	6%
Austria	4,1	4,1	4,0	4,1	0%	-3%	2%	0%
Azerbaijan	11,0	11,6	11,3	11,3	5%	-3%	0%	2%
Belgium	7,7	7,4	7,6	7,6	-4%	3%	0%	-1%
Bosnia and Herzegovina	8,0	8,1	9,7	10,9	1%	20%	12%	35%
Bulgaria	19,8	20,1	20,4	21,3	2%	1%	5%	8%
Croatia	14,0	14,5	13,4	14,6	3%	-8%	9%	4%
Cyprus	13,2	12,9	12,8	13,7	-2%	-1%	7%	4%
Czech Republic	11,8	11,8	11,7	11,7	0%	-1%	0%	0%
Denmark	13,5	10,1	12,2	12,1	-25%	21%	-1%	-10%
Estonia	13,1	13,1	12,8	13,0	0%	-2%	2%	0%
Finland	6,9	7,4	6,6	6,8	7%	-10%	2%	-2%
France	3,0	2,9	2,8	2,9	-4%	-2%	3%	-3%
Georgia	8,0	9,0	11,8	11,8	13%	31%	-1%	48%
Germany	6,4	6,5	6,5	6,7	2%	-1%	4%	4%
Greece	4,8	5,0	5,3	5,5	3%	8%	4%	15%
Hungary	17,4	18,3	19,0	19,2	5%	4%	1%	10%
Iceland	25,4	25,2	NA	20,7	-1%	NA	NA	-19%
Ireland	1,8	1,9	1,9	2,2	8%	-1%	13%	22%
Italy	3,3	3,2	3,4	3,5	-2%	8%	3%	8%
Latvia	17,5	22,1	22,8	22,9	26%	4%	0%	31%
Lithuania	25,7	25,5	24,6	24,4	-1%	-3%	-1%	-5%
Luxembourg	9,0	8,8	8,3	8,0	-3%	-5%	-5%	-11%
Malta	2,6	3,6	2,8	4,1	35%	-21%	46%	55%
Republic of Moldova	20,7	20,9	19,6	19,2	1%	-6%	-2%	-7%
Monaco	11,1	13,8	10,6	13,3	24%	-24%	26%	19%
Montenegro	20,8	14,7	17,4	16,6	-29%	19%	-5%	-20%
Netherlands	4,7	4,7	4,7	5,4	0%	0%	15%	16%
Norway	11,7	12,2	NA	13,8	4%	NA	NA	18%
Poland	14,8	15,7	15,3	15,2	6%	-3%	0%	2%
Portugal	13,9	14,9	14,2	14,5	8%	-5%	2%	5%
Romania	10,9	12,0	11,8	13,4	11%	-2%	13%	23%
Russian Federation	22,1	22,8	23,4	25,2	3%	3%	7%	14%
Serbia	8,4	9,2	9,2	8,8	9%	1%	-5%	5%
Slovakia	17,2	16,7	17,5	17,1	-3%	5%	-2%	0%
Slovenia	8,0	9,2	9,4	10,5	14%	3%	12%	31%
Spain	5,2	5,3	5,2	5,3	1%	-2%	2%	2%
Sweden	10,6	10,6	10,4	9,6	0%	-2%	-8%	-10%
Switzerland	5,5	10,4	10,8	10,4	89%	4%	-4%	89%
The FYROMacedonia	9,8	10,0	9,7	8,3	3%	-4%	-14%	-15%
Turkey	5,8	5,8	6,8	6,0	-1%	19%	-12%	3%
Ukraine	24,9	29,8	30,6	23,8	20%	3%	-22%	-4%
UK-England and Wales	5,2	4,5	3,9	3,6	-14%	-13%	-9%	-31%
UK-Scotland	NA	10,4	8,8	8,7	NA	-16%	-1%	NA
Israel	..	7	7,3	14,2	..	-2%	95%	..
Morocco	..	..	..	2,8	..	..	..	..
Average	11,2	11,6	11,3	11,7	6%	1%	2%	10%
Median	10,1	10,5	10,5	11,0	3%	-1%	1%	4%
Minimum	1,8	1,9	1,9	2,2	-29%	-24%	-22%	-31%
Maximum	25,7	29,8	30,6	25,2	89%	31%	46%	94%